



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDMATIONANO
10/036,662	12/21/2001	Mark Stephen Wight		CONFIRMATION NO.
			GSH 08-893452	3731
75	90 09/04/2003			
Hayes, Solowa	ay, Hennessey,			
Grossman & Ha	ige P.C.	EXAMINER		
130 W. Cushing		NGUYEN, TU T		
Tucson, AZ 85			NGO TEN	1, 10 1
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TATER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/036,662	WIGHT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the provided period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will be set or extended period for	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal m ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	lrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2001</u> is	s/are: a) <u>□</u> accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International & See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)),	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has t	peen received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)		
l) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) P) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 10/036,662

Filing Date: 12/21/01

Paper No: 3

Detailed Office Action

Claim Objections

Claims 1,5,14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 4 "wavelength" should be changed to "wavelengths".

Claim 5, line 1, "said step of in-phase modulating" lacks of antecedent and basis. The term "as claimed in claim 3" should be changed to "as claimed in 4" to overcome the objection.

Claim 14, line 3, "transmitter" should be changed to "transmitters".

Drawings

Figures 1A, 1B, 1C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1:

- 1) line 5, "transmitting same" is not clear. Does Applicant mean "the first and the second wavelengths are transmitted at the same time" or "the first and the second wavelengths are transmitted to the same LUT"?
- 2) line 10, what is "BER"? Does Applicant mean "Bit Error Rate"? The abbreviation "BER" should be written in unabbreviated format before the abbreviation can be used.

With respect to claim 13, refer to discussion in claim 1 above.

Claims 2-12,14-19 are rejected as being depended on a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan et al (6,400,869).

With respect to claim 1, Pan discloses a method for measuring a Bit Error Rate (BER hereinafter). The method comprises: generating and modulating 410,420 (fig 4A) an optical

signal of a first and the second wavelengths 112 (fig 2A), delaying the signals 430,440 (fig 4A or column 6, lines 35-55), measuring the BER of the signals (column 6, lines 50-55).

Pan does not disclose obtaining a BER response with a plurality of detuning values.

Since Pan discloses a tunable laser source 410 (fig 4A). It would have been obvious to modify Pan's method to measure the BER response based on a plurality of detune values to enhance the testing.

With respect to claim 2, it would have been obvious that the dispersion of a LUT can be calculated from the BER response. It would have been obvious a design choice to modify Pan's method to calculate the dispersion of the LUT to determine different characteristics of the LUT.

With respect to claims 3-6, it would have been obvious a design choice to modify Pan with different changing method or different modulation method or different ratio between the first and the second wavelengths for measuring different type of LUTs or different characteristics of the LUT. The modification involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to claim 9, determining the sign of dispersion would have been known. It would have been obvious a design choice to modify Pan to determine different characteristics of the LUT. The modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 13-16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan et al

(6,400,869) in view of Takeda et al (2002/0003653).

With respect to claim 13, Pan discloses the claimed invention except for a receiver as claimed. Takeda discloses a receiver (fig 10; paragraph [0073]) for measuring the BER base on an electrical signal. It would have been obvious to modify Pan with Takeda's receiver to enhance the measurement. Further, refer to discussion in claim 2 above for measuring the dispersion.

With respect to claim 14, Pan does not disclose a first and a second transmitters.

However, it would have been obvious modify Pan with a plurality of transmitters to control the input signals easier.

With respect to claims 15-16, refer to discussion in claim 1 for a plurality of detune values.

With respect to claim 18, the claimed memory would have been known. It would have been obvious to modify Pen with a known memory to store the BER response to increase the calculation speed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-8,10-12,17,19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior arts of record does not disclose an uncorrelated-pattern regime or determining the sign of dispersion by comparing the dispersion with and without the known module a fit function as claimed in claims 7-8,10-12,17,19.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9185. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Tu T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 2877

8/25/03